



Fire dynamics simulations in structural stability prediction and fire safety assessment

Qingfeng Xu

Tunnel Fire Safety Engineering group, PMC, Witteveen+Bos Chair of Applied Mechanics, Department of Built Environment, TU/e

qingfeng.xu@witteveenbos.com





Problem description



Tall buildings



Shopping mall



Airplane



Tunnel



Vehicles



Ships

How can we minimize the damage of the fire accident?

1. Structure: enough fire resistance capability; 2. Designer: Proper fire safety strategy





Content

Fire resistance capability of structures: Buildings with Connections + Insulation Systems



Fire safety design and risk analyses in projects



Background





This video refers from the *Fire Safety Research Institute* on YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tGTESi1ejA0&list=RDCMUCd36aiebKHk0EKmP7MTuuqw&index=8



Research target



Sandwich panel façade system with connections

Connections

Insulation





Fire Safe Buildings with Thin-Walled Steel + Insulation Systems

Modelling the small-scale connections in the large-scale façade

The modelling and its verification of sandwich panels including connections in fire resistance tests

Pyrolysis modelling of composite materials in coupled fire-structure simulations





Problem description- different scales



In finite element analysis, structures must be divided into small elements (meshes). The finer the mesh, the more accurate the results will be obtained. However, the computational costs will also be increased.



Two-scale model



a) Sandwich panel with a screw connection

b) Finite element modeling (large-scale)

c) Two-scale model (small-scale model)

Overview of the two-scale model: the behaviour of the spring element comes from the detailed screw connection model (we do not need to model the screw in detail, so the computational cost is reduced).



Demonstration



Implementation of the **two-scale** model in a **two-way** coupled fire-structure simulation

Xu Q, Hofmeyer H, Maljaars J. A two-scale method to include essential screw connection behaviour in two-way coupled fire-structure simulations. *Journal of Structural Fire Engineering*. 2023 Aug 29. https:// 10.1108/JSFE-01-2023-0005

Xu Q, Hofmeyer H, Maljaars J. Multi-scale bolt connection model for thermomechanical simulations. *ce/papers*. 2021 Sep;4(2-4):1297-303. https://doi.org/10.1002/cepa.1424





Fire Safe Buildings with Thin-Walled Steel + Insulation Systems

Modelling the **small-scale connections** in the large-scale façade

The modelling and its verification of sandwich panels including connections in fire resistance tests

Pyrolysis modelling of composite materials in coupled fire-structure simulations

Bos Witteveen -

Full-scale fire tests

Setup of fire resistance tests

Top row: Sandwich panel façade test; Bottom row: Stud bolts test.





TU/e





Simulation can reasonably predict the temperature distribution and structural deformation during a fire.



Results-2



Finding: The proposed two-scale model can predict the failure temperature of small-scale connections in a large-scale structure. Test: failure time of screw connections : $3000 \text{ s} \rightarrow$ temperature at this moment is **800** °C; Simulation: when temperature is **800** °C, the maximum external load is **1 kN** (this is the load at the bottom of sandwich panel).

Xu, Q., Hofmeyer, H., Maljaars, J. and van Herpen, R.A., (2023). Full-Scale Fire Resistance Testing and Two-Scale Simulations of Sandwich Panels with Connections. *Fire Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10694-023-01463-y

Xu, Q., Hofmeyer, H., Maljaars, J. and van Herpen, R.A., (2022). Thermomechanical modelling of sandwich panels with connections in fire resistance tests. In *SiF 2022-The 12th International Conference on Structures in Fire* (pp. 703-714).





Fire Safe Buildings with Thin-Walled Steel + Insulation Systems

Modelling the small-scale connections in the large-scale façade

The modelling and its verification of sandwich panels including connections in fire resistance tests

Pyrolysis modelling of composite materials in coupled fire-structure simulations

Witteveen -

TU/e

Problem description – pyrolysis model



Sandwich panel with a composite core under fire

Governing equation of heat transfer:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho h) = \nabla (k_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + k_2 \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} + k_3 \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \mathbf{k}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\dot{m}_g h_g) - Q_i \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$

Pyrolysis reaction (Arrhenius Equation): $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = -A_i m_v \left[\frac{m - m_c}{m_v}\right]^{n_i} e^{-\frac{E}{RT}}$

The mass flux of pyrolysis gas at an arbitrary location *x*:

$$\dot{m}_g = \int_l^x \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} dx$$





Pyrolysis modelling Pyrolysis level: 10mm 5mm 1mm 29mm (0 is fully pyrolysised) Experiment Henderson et al. 1.000 Simulation y = 29 mm 0.950 1000 t = 200s 0.875 -0.780 y = 25 mm-0.725 750 Temperature (°C) 0.650 t = 400s -0.575 y = 20 mm -0.500 500 0.425 t = 600s 0.350 -0.275 250 -0.200 y = 1 mm0.125 t = 800s 0.050 0.000 0 750 500 0 250 Time (s)

Temperature of FE model VS. experiment

Henderson, J. B., Wiebelt, J. A., & Tant, M. R. (1985). A model for the thermal response of polymer composite materials with experimental verification. Journal of composite materials, 19(6), 579-595.





Implementation of the pyrolysis model



Implementation of the pyrolysis model in a One-Way Coupled (OWC) fire-structure simulation (Abaqus)

Witteveen -

TU/e

Examples (pyrolysis and without pyrolysis)



Comparisons of the simulation with/without considering the pyrolysis behaviour (TWC, door width = 1.2 m)

The pyrolysis reaction absorbs heat from the fire, which consequently postpones structural failure \rightarrow more accurate failure prediction



Summary for structural fire resistance

- The full-scale tests are the best way to measure the fire resistance of structures, as they are realistic and informative.
- The costs for full-scale fire tests are extremely high, and in some extreme cases, cannot be conducted. Therefore, fire-structural coupled simulations can be the best alternative.
- Fire-structure coupled simulations are very complex because they concern problems in two different domains (CFD and FEM), and interactions between these two domains need to be taken into account.
- The accuracy of the numerical model (fire/structural) depends on how many details are considered. However, computational costs should also be taken into consideration when creating the model.





Content

Fire resistance capability of structures: Buildings with Connections + Insulation Systems



Fire safety design and risk analyses in projects



Introduction



Overview of the Oosterweel project in Antwerp

https://www.oosterweelverbinding.be/





Pool fire

• Transportation of dangerous goods \rightarrow Leakage accident \rightarrow Pool fire \rightarrow Fire resistance of wall \rightarrow Protection needed?





Directly apply to the steel pile surface





Model setup







TU/e



Top view

Witteveen -

TU/e

Findings (fire time = 30 minutes)



For a 30 minutes pool fire, the maximum surface temperature of the steel sheet pile (1.5 m away from the fire) is 535 °C, which is lower than the failure criteria of 550 °C from the stability analysis.

Therefore, the protection is not necessarily needed.



Truck fire



Witteveen -



And more implementations ...



Fire simulations for buildings





Fire simulations for tunnels



Witteveen

TU/e

Summary for fire safety design in projects

- 1) Fire simulations are essential to solve practical problems, particularly in project-oriented fire safety design. Buildings or structures will not always 100% comply with the regular specifications in standards or codes.
- 2) Compared to standard fire tests, fire simulations could help to simulate different fire sizes, locations, durations, and fuel types and will give better insight in fire loads for assessment of fire protection measures.
- 3) Since fire simulations can accurately capture combustion physics, including oxygen consumption and smoke production, they are important for designing effective fire safety strategies for building or tunnel ventilation systems.

Witteveen -

TU/e

Team members

Tamara Dolle Tunnelveiligheid en FSE



Thijs Bouwhuis *Tunnelveiligheid en FSE*



Henrique Volpini Tunnelveiligheid en Brandveiligheid

Qingfeng Xu Brandveiligheid, risicoanalyse





Aryan Snel

Witteveen+Bos Groepsleider tunnelveiligheid en FSE Senior-Adviseur Tunnelveiligheid





TU/e



Thanks for listening!



qingfeng.xu@witteveenbos.com